

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,221	11/12/2003	Heiko Taxis	15111-000166	6314	
27572 7590 04/02/2010 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828			STEPHEN, EMEM O		
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/708,221 TAXIS, HEIKO Examiner Art Unit EMEM STEPHEN 2617 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Reply

	EMEM STEPHEN	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONITHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the manufame statutory period we have a support of the provision	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objected to by the l frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summerv	(PTO-413)	

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (FTO/SB/CC) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/706,221 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 8, and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gortz et al. in view of U. S. Pub. No. 20030220988 Al to Hymel.

Regarding claims 1, 12-14, and 19, Gortz et al. discloses driver information system (col. 1 lines 13-25) comprising an operating device having at least two operational control units (fig. 1 12-13; fig. 2, 12.1-13.2) and a holding unit with a number of operation al control slots each adapted to receive one of the operational control units (see figure 2, col. 1 lines 20-26, and col. 4

Application/Control Number: 10/706,221

Art Unit: 2617

lines 3-4), and a control device for validating control signals delivered by the operational control units (col. 4 lines 37-42).

However, Gortz et al. fails to disclose wherein said operational control units are interchangeably arranged in the slots of holding device, each of said operational control units comprises a transmitting unit, and said control device is associated with a receiving unit in order to receive the control signals provided by the transmitting unit, transmitting unit for transmitting a control signal that contains identification information identifying the particular operational control unit.

In a similar endeavor, Hymel discloses an operating device (electronic device 110) having at least two operational control units (see figs.1-2, and par. 13, accessory devices 120) and a holding unit with a number of operational control slots (see fig. 3, 320-340) each adapted to receive one of the operational control units (par. 15, i.e. port 320, transceiver 330-340), and a control device (310) for validating control signals delivered by the operational control units (pars. 16-18), wherein said operational control units are interchangeably arranged in the slots of holding device (electronic device detect connectivity of any of the accessory device, therefore any of the accessory device can be placed at any of the transceiver unit or port, hence interchangeability), each of said operational control units comprises a transmitting unit, and said control device is associated with a receiving unit in order to receive the control signals provided by the transmitting unit (pars. 16-17), transmitting unit for transmitting a control signal that contains identification information identifying the particular operational control unit (par. 17, receiving device information; type identifier).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,221

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Gortz et al. such that the transmitting unit for transmitting a control signal contains identification information identifying the particular operational control unit, and a for receiving the control signal for the purpose of having an improved variation of driver information system for convenience (col. 1 lines 31-34).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Gortz et al. and Hymel discloses the driver information system of claim 1, wherein the transmitting unit transmits said control signals optically to the receiving unit (Hymel par. 15 lines 10-11).

Regarding claims 4, 5, and 8, the combination of Gortz et al. and Hymel discloses the driver information system of claim 2, wherein said operational control are supported movably relative to each other by the holding unit (Hymel, pars. 16-18), and wherein the receiving unit transmits said control signals via radio frequency to the receiving unit; wherein said transmitting, unit and said receiving unit are adapted for transmitting using the Bluetooth protocol; wherein said operational control are supported movably relative to each other by the holding unit (Hymel, pars. 12-13, and 15).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Gortz et al., and Hymel discloses the driver information system of claim 1; wherein said operation control unit is one of an operating element, volume control element, a hard-key element etc (Gortz et al., col. 1 lines 20-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,221

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 15-18, and 20-22, the combination of Gortz et al., and Hymel discloses control signals include identification information identifying each of the operational control units to enable the control device to assign the control signals to the respective transmitting operational control unit (Hymel par. 17).

Claims 6, 7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Gortz et al. in view of Hymel, and further in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,892192 to Ishiguro et al.

Regarding claims 6-7, 9, and 11, the combination of Gortz et al., and Hymel discloses the driver information system according to claim 1, wherein the holding unit comprises preset number of operational control slots, wherein each operational unit comprises at least one frame connector which is insert-able in an edge-socket-connector provided in each said operational control slot, the control signals being transmitted by wire via said connector-socket connection, wherein each operational control unit comprises a mounting member provided at a operational control unit slot and engaging said mounting member detachably(Gortz, see figs. 2, 4, col. 4 lines 1-16, tactle/haptic interface 21, driver interface 22,23).

However, the combination fails to disclose, and wherein operational control units comprise identical cover plates.

Ishiguro et al. discloses wherein operational control units comprise identical cover plate (see fig. 4, cover plates 140 are identical).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination, and have the holding unit comprises each operational Art Unit: 2617

control unit comprising a mounting member provided at a operational control unit slot and engaging said mounting member detachably as disclosed by Ishiguro et al. based on cosmetic preference of user.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMEM STEPHEN whose telephone number is 571 272 8129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571 272 7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617 03/29/2010

/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617